

1. a) Two-sample t-test

b) $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$ $H_A : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$

c) Assumptions: drug potency has normal distribution. Samples are random.

d) Test statistic $t = \frac{85.2 - 76.0}{\sqrt{\frac{10.3^2}{10} + \frac{12.5^2}{10}}} = 1.80$ $df = 9$ $p\text{-value} = 0.105$, is above 0.05

e) Conclusion: there is no significant difference in potency between current and after-storage drug.

2. a) one-sample t-test

b) $H_0 : \mu = 16$ $H_A : \mu < 16$

c) skip

d) since sample mean is 16.4 hours, which is above 16, alternative hypothesis cannot be proven. Accept H_0 right away. Average time spent on chores is evidently **not** less than 16 hours.

3. a) matched pairs test

b) $H_0 : \mu_D = 0$ $H_A : \mu_D > 0$ where μ_D is average difference between garage I and garage II

c) assumptions: cost repairs do have normal distribution

d) Mean difference $\bar{x} = 240$, $s = 311$

$T = 2.31$, $df = 8$ $p\text{-value} \approx .025$

Reject H_0 at level $\alpha = 0.05$

e) Conclusion: the garage I has, on average, higher estimates.

Can the t-test be safely used?

Maybe not, since the distribution of differences is right-skewed (outliers at 520 and 900), and n is small

4. a) z-test for proportion

b) $H_0 : p = 0.90$ $H_A : p \neq 0.90$

c) assumption: np_0 and $n(1-p_0)$ both above 10. Checks out.

d) $p\text{-hat} = 2678/3065 = 0.874$ $z = -4.85$ $p\text{-value} = .000$, very small

e) Conclusion: the proportion graduating that high school is significantly different from the district average. (In fact, it's lower)

5. Tobacco companies claim that an average smoker usually smokes 18.6 cigarettes a day. To test this, an independent agency took a sample of 20 smokers, on a given day they smoked average of 20.1 cigarettes, with the standard deviation of 5.3 cigarettes.

Build a **90% C.I.** for the average number of cigarettes μ smoked in a day.

$t^* = 1.729$

$20.1 \pm 1.729 * 5.3 / \sqrt{20} = [18.1, 22.1]$

Looking at the above interval, does it seem credible that $\mu = 18.6$?Yes(b) Test the hypotheses $H_0 : \mu = 18.6$ vs. $H_a : \mu \neq 18.6$

using the C.I. above.

Write your conclusion. At what level α would you test?Since 18.6 is inside the CI, Accept H_0 : the value of 18.6 is credible.(the test will have the level $\alpha = 100\% - C = 10\%$)

6. If we knew that the population st.dev. $\sigma = 3.5$, and we wanted to estimate the mean μ within the margin 0.3 with 90% confidence, what sample size would be sufficient for that?

$$z^* = 1.645 \quad n = (1.645 * 3.5 / 0.3)^2 = 368$$

7. To determine the occurrence of side effects for a new drug, a study is planned.

(a) How large should a sample size be to determine the proportion of patients with minor side effects to within $\pm 2\%$ with the confidence 90%?

$$n = (1.645 / 0.02)^2 * 0.25 = 1691$$

(b) If in the sample of $n = 100$ patients 18 had minor side effects, find a 98% confidence interval for the proportion of all likely patients who would have minor side effects.

$$\hat{p} = 0.18 \quad 0.18 \pm 2.326 \sqrt{0.18(1 - 0.18) / 100} = 0.180 \pm 0.089 = [0.091; 0.269]$$

(c) $n\hat{p} = 18$ and $n(1 - \hat{p}) = 82$ should be both above 10 : true. Normal Approximation is valid.

As the confidence level increases, the Confidence interval (circle one)

Gets wider

As the sample size increases, the Confidence interval (circle one)

Gets narrower

5.31 a) $p = 0.1137$

b) using $\mu = np = 136.4$

c) using $\mu = 136.4$ and $\sigma = 11.0$, obtain $z = (100 - 136.4) / 11.0 = -3.31$ and $P(Z < -3.31) = 0.0005$ since $np = 1200(0.1137) > 10$, the Normal approx. is good.

5.75 Control limits are $\mu \pm 3\sigma / \sqrt{5} = 4.0689$ to 4.4311

You might also be required to find something like

$$P(\bar{X} > 4.2) = P[Z > (4.2 - 4.25) / (0.135 / \sqrt{5})] = P(Z > -0.33) = 1 - TA(-0.33) = 0.6293$$

* Chi-square test: Review Homework 23